



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/685,716	10/11/2000	Andrew R. Cleasby	CIS00-2909	5970	
7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER			
Barry W. Chapin, Esq.			SMITH, PETER J		
CHAPIN & HUANG, L.L.C. Westborough Office Park			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1700 West Park Drive			2176		
Westborough, MA 01581			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/685,716	CLEASBY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peter J Smith	2176		

	Peter J Smith	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection				
b) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the linar rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
	officer as with 07 OFP 44 07 vs. 44	C			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
<del></del>	how makes the state of filling a baid				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE below),			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	••	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	(		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		Il be entered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-23 and 25-31.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a North date of filing a North day the affiday and the affiday of the file of the control of the date o	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
 I2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
13.  Other:		OSEPH FEILD			
	SUPERVIS	OSEPH FEILD ORY PATENT EXAM	MINEP		
	= - : : :				

Part of Paper No. 20050427

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 09/685,716

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claimed scope of the extracted state information has been altered and requires further consideration and search by the examiner..